IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 9180 of 2004

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.R.VORA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : YES

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

HASHU @ HASHMUKHBHAI AMRUTBHAIPATEL

Versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 9180 of 2004

MR NM KAPADIA for Petitioner No. 1

MRS HN PUNANI AGP for Respondent No. 1-3

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.R.VORA

Date of decision: 17/01/2005

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and learned AGP Mrs. HB Punani for the respondents.

2. This petition is filed against the order passed against the petitioner by Police Commissioner, Surat City, on 15th of July, 2004 under Section 3(1) of the Gujarat Prevention of Anti Social Activities Act, 1985 (PASA Act for short).

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner and learned AGP on instructions submit that the order under challenge in this petition is revoked by the State Government on 16th of August, 2004 and the petitioner is set at liberty.

4. In this view of the matter, this petition becomes infructuous and requires to be disposed of.

5. In the above view of the matter, this petition stands disposed of having become infructuous. Rule is discharged.

(J.R. Vora, J.)

p.n.nair